

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,645	09/28/2001	Dong-Gyu Kim	06192.0257.NPUS00	06192.0257.NPUS00 1942	
759	90 03/11/2004		EXAMINER		
McGuire Woods			WEISS, HOWARD		
1750 Tysons Bo	ulevard				
Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102-4215			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/200	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/964,645	KIM, DONG-GYU	6				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Howard Weiss	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather than the period of extensions of the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•						
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	4	Seel fc 81 = 23					
		81 E 2	3r Y				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's arguments were not persuasive. In reference to the 112 rejections, the claims in question are attempting to claim two distinct, separate and independent inventions in one claim. Present office policy is that each independent claim should only cover one invention. In view of these reasons and those set forth in the final rejection, the rejections of the stated claims stand.